

**Results from June Omnibus Questions
for the Unified Arctic Campaign**

Interviewing conducted by telephone, June 26-30, 2008. N = 817 national general population. Margin of sampling error is ± 3.5 percentage points. The data have been weighted by selection probability, gender, region, education and age to match the adult U.S. population. Percents may add to 99% or 101% due to rounding. * indicates less than 1%.

1. Currently, the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge in Alaska is a protected wildlife habitat where oil and gas drilling are off limits. In your opinion, ROTATE: (should Congress open the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge to oil and gas drilling, OR should Congress continue to protect this wildlife refuge?) Do you feel that way strongly or somewhat?	OPEN TO DRILLING (Total)	36%
	STRONGLY	27%
	SOMEWHAT	9
	PROTECT REFUGE (Total)	55%
	SOMEWHAT	12
	STRONGLY	43
	DK	8
REF	*	
2. Do you think that allowing oil companies to drill in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge will result in lower gas prices for American consumers or not?	YES/IT WILL	35%
	NO/IT WON'T	53
	DK	12
	REF	*

(RANDOMIZE Q3-5) Please tell me if you agree or disagree with the following statements. Do you agree or disagree that [READ STATEMENT]?

	Agree	Disagree	DK/ REF
3. The oil companies already have obtained the right to drill for oil and gas in 32 million acres of oil-rich federal land that they are not using. As long as they have not drilled in the acres already available to them, there is no reason to open up more Alaskan wilderness to drilling.	68%	24	8
4. We should not allow drilling in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge because this is one of the most valuable wilderness areas left in the U.S. and it would be permanently damaged by drilling.	56%	38	6
5. Investing in new energy technology, renewable fuels, and more efficient automobiles is a better way to address energy prices and our long-term needs than is relying on more drilling for a limited amount of oil	74	17	9

###